

1 **WO**


2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 United States of America,) No. CR-03-974-PHX-DGC
10 Plaintiff,) No. CV-08-77-PHX-DGC (CRP)
11 vs.) Ninth Circuit No. 10-16891
12 Neil Rusty Bond,) **ORDER**
13 Defendant/Movant.)
14 _____)

15 Defendant Neil Bond has filed a motion to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis
16 pursuant to Rule 24(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. Doc. 204. Defendant
17 previously was determined to be financially unable to obtain an adequate defense. The Court
18 does not find that the appeal is not taken in good faith or that Defendant is not otherwise
19 entitled to proceed in forma pauperis. Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3)(A); *see* Doc. 200 at 2. Nor
20 is the Court aware of a statute precluding the extension of forma pauperis status on appeal.
21 *See* Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3)(B).

22 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendant's motion to proceed on appeal in
23 forma pauperis (Doc. 204) is **granted**.

24 DATED this 4th day of November, 2010.

25
26 

27
28 _____
David G. Campbell
United States District Judge